1.6 Rational Decision Making and the Theory of Diminishing Marginal Utility: 30-minute Pack

AQA 7136 · Calculators not allowed · about 30 minutes

Total Marks

Name: _____ Date: ____ / ____ / _____

Answer all questions. Full sentences are required for Q1, Q2, Q6, Q10, Q11 and Q13. Do simple arithmetic without a calculator where asked. Show working for calculations.

1 Assess briefly two strengths and two weaknesses of using marginal utility theory to explain individual demand, in the context of a consumer deciding how many cinema tickets to buy. Give concise points and a one-sentence development for each point.

(Total for Question 1 is 7 marks)

© Revision Library | revisionlibrary.co.uk

2 Evaluate the view that the rational decision-making assumption in traditional microeconomics provides a good description of how consumers make choices. In your answer, consider strengths of the rational model, its limitations (including the law of diminishing marginal utility and equi-marginal principle), and the extent to which these limitations matter for economic analysis. You should use diagrams where appropriate and give a supported judgement.

(Total for Question 2 is 12 marks)

---

3 Table A: Units of chocolate bars consumed by one person in a day, with Total Utility (TU) given. Complete the Marginal Utility (MU) column and state at which unit diminishing marginal utility first appears. Table A: Units 0 to 5; TU (utils) = 0, 12, 22, 30, 36, 40 for 0,1,2,3,4,5 units respectively.

(Total for Question 3 is 5 marks)

© Revision Library | revisionlibrary.co.uk

Mark scheme · 1.6 Rational Decision Making and the Theory of Diminishing Marginal Utility: 30-minute Pack

Question 1

strength 1: MU theory provides a clear, intuitive explanation of downward-sloping demand via diminishing MU	**B1**
develops strength 1: diminishing MU explains why willingness to pay falls for successive tickets, mapping to a demand curve	**A1**
strength 2: MU framework links price and quantity through a simple rule (buy while MU ≥ price), useful for pedagogic and analytical clarity	**B1**
develops strength 2: the MU ≥ price rule helps predict discrete purchase decisions and marginal analysis in many market situations	**A1**
weakness 1: MU is ordinal and hypothetical, utils are unobservable and interpersonal comparisons are problematic	**B1**
develops weakness 1: this limits empirical testing and policy application because MU values cannot be measured directly across individuals	**A1**
weakness 2: the model assumes consistent, calculating consumers, ignoring contexts where habit, time pressure or heuristics drive behaviour	**B1**

Answer: Two strengths: clear explanation of downward-sloping demand via diminishing MU, and a simple purchase rule MU ≥ price. Two weaknesses: MU is unobservable and interpersonal comparisons are difficult, and the model assumes calculating rational consumers ignoring habits and heuristics.

Question 2

Level 1 Basic statements about rational decision-making with limited or no application, weak structure, little or no use of diagram, and a simple unbalanced judgement	**1-4**
Level 2 Clear explanation of strengths and limitations of the rational model, some use of examples or a diagram, and a balanced discussion with a tentative conclusion	**5-8**
Level 3 Comprehensive analysis of the rational decision-making assumption, well-developed evaluation of limitations and their significance, effective use of diagrams and examples, and a clearly justified and supported judgement	**9-12**

Indicative content:

- Strengths: provides a coherent, parsimonious framework for predicting consumer responses to prices and incomes; yields testable comparative statics such as the MU >= price rule and equi-marginal principle; the law of diminishing MU explains downward-sloping individual demand and helps derive market demand when aggregated
- Use of diagrams: marginal utility schedules mapped to willingness-to-pay points that trace a downward-sloping individual demand curve; equi-marginal allocation between two goods shown by MU/P equalisation
- Limitations: utils are hypothetical and unobservable, interpersonal comparisons problematic; assumptions of complete information, transitive and stable preferences, and unbounded computation are unrealistic in many everyday choices
- Behavioural considerations: habits, heuristics, bounded rationality and time-inconsistent preferences can lead to systematic deviations from utility-maximising choices, though these are reserved for the behavioural pack, mention as boundary
- Magnitude and context: discuss when the rational model works well (high-stakes, infrequent decisions, markets with clear prices) and when it is weaker (habitual small purchases, complex multi-attribute goods), including policy implications
- Evaluation: weigh model simplicity and predictive power against realism; consider whether relaxing assumptions (e.g. satisficing, fuzzy preferences) preserves useful predictions; conclude with a supported view on the continued usefulness of the rational model for many economic analyses, while acknowledging important limits for some contexts

Question 3

MU for 1st unit = 12 - 0 = 12 utils	**M1**
MU for 2nd unit = 22 - 12 = 10 utils	**M1**
MU for 3rd unit = 30 - 22 = 8 utils	**M1**
MU for 4th unit = 36 - 30 = 6 utils	**M1**
identifies point of diminishing marginal utility appears after the 1st unit, since MU falls from 12 to 10 on the second unit, so diminishing MU begins at the 2nd unit (or 'from the 2nd unit onward')	**B1**

Answer: MU column: 1st 12, 2nd 10, 3rd 8, 4th 6, 5th 4. Diminishing MU first appears at the 2nd unit (MU falls compared with previous unit).

© Revision Library | revisionlibrary.co.uk