3.8 Socialist Thinkers: Karl Marx and Rosa Luxemburg: 30-minute Pack

EDEXCEL 9PL0 · about 30 minutes

Total Marks

Name: _____ Date: ____ / ____ / _____

Answer ALL questions. For levels-marked questions, write full sentences and develop a clear line of argument using accurate political knowledge and named thinkers. Allow about 30 minutes for this pack.

1 Evaluate the extent to which Karl Marx and Rosa Luxemburg agree on how revolution should occur, making well-supported use of both thinkers' ideas.

(Total for Question 1 is 24 marks)

© Revision Library | revisionlibrary.co.uk

2 Explain three ways in which Marx's theory of revolution differs from a simple prediction that the proletariat will always rise immediately once they become conscious of exploitation.

(Total for Question 2 is 6 marks)

© Revision Library | revisionlibrary.co.uk

Mark scheme · 3.8 Socialist Thinkers: Karl Marx and Rosa Luxemburg: 30-minute Pack

Question 1

Level 0 No relevant content.	**0**
Level 1 Basic, limited knowledge of Marx and/or Luxemburg with little or no developed analysis. Evaluation, if present, is weak or asserted without substantiation.	**1-6**
Level 2 Clear knowledge of both thinkers and some developed analysis of their views on revolutionary method; evaluation is present but may be descriptive or one-sided.	**7-12**
Level 3 Detailed knowledge of Marx and Luxemburg used to construct a sustained analysis comparing their views on revolution, with developed evaluation and some assessment of strengths and weaknesses of each position.	**13-18**
Level 4 Sophisticated, precise knowledge and analysis that critically evaluates both thinkers, considers counterarguments and implications, uses well-chosen textual and historical examples, and reaches a clear, well-supported conclusion on the extent of their agreement.	**19-24**

Indicative content:

- Agreement points: both regard the proletariat as the key social agent for overturning capitalism and both see revolution as the means to abolish private ownership of the means of production and end exploitation, drawing on Marx's historical materialism and Luxemburg's commitment to revolutionary transformation.
- Marx's position: analysis of capitalism's internal contradictions, surplus value and class struggle leads to a historical-materialist prediction that revolutionary conditions will arise, with an emphasis on the role of class consciousness and organised struggle; Marx wrote in the Communist Manifesto and Capital about the development of proletarian organisation and political action.
- Luxemburg's position: strong critique of gradualism and parliamentary reformism, emphasis on spontaneous mass action and the mass strike as the principal motor of revolutionary change, and a warning against authoritarian centralisation; she argued that active participation of the masses is essential for genuine socialism.
- Points of divergence: Luxemburg criticised Leninist vanguardism and centralised party control that she thought risked substituting party power for proletarian self-emancipation, while some Marxist interpretations allow for disciplined party leadership to cohere working-class action; Marx's own texts contain complexities and ambiguities about organisation that have allowed varied readings.
- Evaluation of strengths and weaknesses: Marx provides a structural, economic account that links objective conditions to revolutionary possibility, which helps explain why revolutions occur in certain contexts; Luxemburg emphasises agency and democratic legitimacy, addressing the risk that revolutions imposed from above become authoritarian, but her stress on spontaneity may underplay the need for sustained organisation and theory in hostile political environments.
- Consideration of historical evidence: reference to Marx's 19th-century European context and Luxemburg's experience in the German and Russian debates of the early 20th century, including her reactions to Bolshevik centralisation after 1917, can be used to assess the practical implications of each approach.
- Judgement: a balanced conclusion might argue they agree on the goal and on the centrality of the proletariat and mass action, but disagree over the relative roles of spontaneous mass activity versus organised leadership and on the dangers of centralisation; the extent of agreement is therefore significant at the level of ends and fundamental critique of capitalism, but contested in means and organisational strategy.

© Revision Library | revisionlibrary.co.uk

Question 2

identifies one corrective, e.g. Marx saw class consciousness as historically produced, not automatic	**B1**
develops that point, e.g. workers need to experience objective conditions and organisation that foster class awareness	**B1**
identifies a second corrective, e.g. Marx recognised the role of material and political conditions such as crises and state repression in determining timing	**B1**
develops that point, e.g. economic crises can sharpen contradictions making revolution more likely, but outcomes are contingent	**B1**
identifies an additional nuance, e.g. Marx allowed for the influence of ideology and superstructure in delaying or preventing revolt	**B1**
develops that nuance, e.g. ruling-class ideas and institutions can obscure exploitation and slow revolutionary development	**B1**

Answer: Marx did not claim that proletarian revolt happens automatically once workers grasp exploitation; class consciousness is historically produced through experience, organisation and political struggle, and revolution depends on material triggers such as severe economic crises and political conditions like state repression. Ruling-class ideology and institutions can delay or prevent revolt, so the timing and occurrence of revolution are contingent rather than immediate once exploitation is recognised.

© Revision Library | revisionlibrary.co.uk