2.7 The Judiciary: Independence, Neutrality and the Supreme Court: 30-minute Pack

EDEXCEL 9PL0 · about 30 minutes

Total Marks

Name: _____ Date: ____ / ____ / _____

Answer ALL questions. Short answer questions may be answered in short sentences; extended answers and the two levels-marked questions must be answered in full sentences with developed explanation and evidence.

1 Evaluate the extent to which the UK judiciary is independent of the other branches of government.

© Revision Library | revisionlibrary.co.uk

(Total for Question 1 is 30 marks)

© Revision Library | revisionlibrary.co.uk

Mark scheme · 2.7 The Judiciary: Independence, Neutrality and the Supreme Court: 30-minute Pack

Question 1

Level 1 Basic, limited knowledge of mechanisms for judicial independence with little or no developed analysis or evaluation. Examples, if present, are generalised.	**1-6**
Level 2 Clear knowledge of several mechanisms supporting independence and some analysis of how they operate, but evaluation may be partial or rely on assertion rather than evidence.	**7-12**
Level 3 Detailed knowledge and sound analysis of how independence is supported and limited, using specific examples such as the Constitutional Reform Act 2005 and the Judicial Appointments Commission; evaluation is developed but may not address all significant counterarguments.	**13-18**
Level 4 Well-developed, balanced analysis that integrates wide-ranging and accurate examples, considers structural, financial and cultural constraints, and weighs evidence to reach a reasoned judgement on the extent of independence.	**19-24**
Level 5 Sophisticated, wide-ranging and well-evidenced evaluation that addresses multiple lines of argument and counterargument, including institutional reforms, remaining vulnerabilities, and recent developments, and reaches a clear, well-supported conclusion on the extent of judicial independence.	**25-30**

Indicative content:

- Arguments that the judiciary is substantially independent: security of tenure for judges, clear removal process, and judicial immunity from suit for acts done in judicial capacity strengthen independence.
- The Constitutional Reform Act 2005 established structural safeguards, notably creating the Supreme Court to separate senior judges from the legislature, and reformed the role of the Lord Chancellor to reduce political influence.
- The Judicial Appointments Commission reduces ministerial patronage over appointments, promoting merit-based selection and enhancing independence compared with the pre-2005 system.
- Mechanisms of neutrality and professional ethics, including guidance on political activity, expectations of recusal and published judgments, support impartial decision-making and public confidence.
- Limits and qualifications: the executive retains control over court budgets and administrative resources, which can create indirect pressure; financial dependence can be used subtly to influence priorities.
- Limits and qualifications: the UK lacks a codified constitution and some protections rely on convention and political culture, which could be weakened under sustained political pressure.
- Recent legislative or policy changes, or government rhetoric, can affect perceptions of independence even if legal safeguards remain; students may cite how changes to appointment procedures or budgetary control would matter in practice, without needing to rely on judicial review cases.
- A reasoned conclusion should weigh strong formal and institutional safeguards against real-world constraints and argue that the judiciary is significantly independent in judicial decision-making, but that independence is not absolute and depends on complementary safeguards including transparent appointments, secure funding, and a political culture that respects the rule of law.

© Revision Library | revisionlibrary.co.uk