



PSY.OPT27 Piaget's Theory of Cognitive Development and Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory

AQA 7182 · Calculators not allowed · about 90 minutes

Total Marks

Name: _____ Date: ____ / ____ / ____

Answer ALL questions. Show all your working.

- 1** In the context of Piaget's theory of cognitive development, outline what is meant by a 'schema'.
Define schema in Piaget's theory

(Total for Question 1 is 2 marks)

- 2** Explain Piaget's processes of assimilation and accommodation, as used in his account of cognitive development in children.
Explain assimilation and accommodation

(Total for Question 2 is 4 marks)

- 3** Outline Piaget's concept of equilibration and its role in cognitive development in childhood.
Outline equilibration

(Total for Question 3 is 2 marks)

- 4** Outline Piaget's four stages of intellectual development and give one typical cognitive achievement for each stage, naming the stage and the age range.
Outline Piaget's four stages with one achievement each

(Total for Question 4 is 4 marks)

- 5** In a preschool research context, outline what Piaget meant by object permanence and at which of his stages it typically emerges.
Outline object permanence and its stage

(Total for Question 5 is 2 marks)

- 6** A primary school teacher gives a group of 6-year-old children two identical balls of playdough. She flattens one into a pancake while the other remains a ball and then asks each child which has more playdough. A child called Erin says the flattened pancake has more because it looks bigger, while another child, Yusuf, says they have the same amount.

Explain, using Piagetian concepts, why Erin and Yusuf gave different answers. Refer to conservation and the relevant Piaget stage in your answer.

Apply Piaget to classroom conservation scenario

(Total for Question 6 is 6 marks)

- 7** Outline Piaget's concept of egocentrism as seen in the preoperational stage of development.
Outline egocentrism

(Total for Question 7 is 2 marks)

- 8** In Piagetian terms, explain class inclusion and state at which stage children typically begin to understand class inclusion.

Explain class inclusion and stage

(Total for Question 8 is 2 marks)

- 9** Outline Vygotsky's zone of proximal development (ZPD) in the context of classroom learning, and state why it is important for teachers.

Outline zone of proximal development

(Total for Question 9 is 3 marks)

- 10** Outline the concept of scaffolding as Vygotsky used it, and give one practical example a teacher might use when helping a child learn to read aloud in class.

Outline scaffolding and give example

(Total for Question 10 is 3 marks)

- 11** Discuss Piaget's and Vygotsky's theories of cognitive development. In your answer, compare their accounts of how children learn, and evaluate the strengths and limitations of each theory with reference to empirical evidence and educational applications. Make sure to cover whether Piaget underestimated children's abilities and the educational implications of scaffolding and the ZPD.

Discuss Piaget and Vygotsky

(Total for Question 11 is 16 marks)

- 12** Explain one methodological criticism of Piaget's conservation or egocentrism tasks that suggests he may have underestimated children's cognitive abilities, and say why this criticism matters for interpreting his theory.

Evaluate a methodological criticism of Piaget

(Total for Question 12 is 4 marks)

- 13** Describe one practical classroom application of Vygotsky's scaffolding and explain one potential limitation of using scaffolding in real schools.

Practical application and limitation of scaffolding

(Total for Question 13 is 4 marks)

Mark scheme · PSY.OPT27 Piaget's Theory of Cognitive Development and Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory

Question 1

- **B1** states that a schema is a mental framework or organised unit of knowledge about an object, person or situation oe
- **B1** gives an example or explains that schemas help us interpret new information oe
- **Answer: A schema is a mental framework or organised unit of knowledge about an object, event or concept, used to interpret new information; for example, a child's schema for 'dog' includes features like four legs and barking.**

Question 2

- **M1** defines assimilation: interpreting new information in terms of existing schemas oe
- **A1** gives an example or explains that assimilation adds information without changing the schema oe
- **M1** defines accommodation: changing or creating schemas to fit new information that cannot be assimilated oe
- **A1** gives an example or explains that accommodation alters thinking to restore consistency oe
- **Answer: Assimilation is interpreting new information using existing schemas (for example, calling a cat a 'dog' because the child associates four legs with dogs). Accommodation is altering or creating schemas when new information cannot be fitted into existing schemas (the child learns the difference and forms a separate 'cat' schema).**

Question 3

- **B1** states equilibration is the process of achieving cognitive balance between assimilation and accommodation oe
- **B1** explains it motivates cognitive development, moving the child through stages when imbalance is detected oe
- **Answer: Equilibration is the process of restoring cognitive balance between existing schemas and new experiences, so when a child encounters something that does not fit their schemas, disequilibrium prompts accommodation and development to a higher level.**

Question 4

- **M1** sensorimotor stage (birth to about 2 years): achievement e.g. object permanence oe
- **M1** preoperational stage (about 2 to 7 years): achievement e.g. symbolic play, egocentrism present oe
- **M1** concrete operational stage (about 7 to 11 years): achievement e.g. understanding conservation oe
- **M1** formal operational stage (about 11 years and older): achievement e.g. abstract and hypothetical thinking oe
- **Answer: Sensorimotor (birth-2): object permanence. Preoperational (2-7): symbolic thought and egocentrism. Concrete operational (7-11): conservation of number, mass or volume. Formal operational (11+): abstract and hypothetical reasoning.**

Question 5

- **B1** defines object permanence as the understanding that objects continue to exist when out of sight oe
- **B1** states it typically emerges in the sensorimotor stage (by about 8 to 12 months) oe
- **Answer: Object permanence is the understanding that objects continue to exist when they are no longer seen, and it typically emerges in the sensorimotor stage, usually by around 8 to 12 months of age.**

Question 6

- **M1** identifies that the task is a conservation task about quantity/mass (concrete operational concept) oe
- **M1** states that 6-year-old children are typically in the preoperational stage where conservation is not yet fully understood oe
- **A1** explains Erin's response in terms of centration and focus on appearance: she focuses on the flattened shape and is fooled by perceptual change oe
- **A1** explains Yusuf's correct response as showing emerging or achieved concrete operational thinking, understanding conservation because he recognises the amount is invariant despite appearance oe
- **M1** links the differing answers to stage-appropriate thinking: preoperational children conserve poorly while concrete operational children conserve correctly oe
- **A1** gives a brief contextual reason why children of the same age may differ, e.g. individual differences in development or task familiarity oe
- **Answer: The question tests conservation. At about 6 years, many children are in Piaget's preoperational stage and show centration, so Erin focuses on the new flattened appearance and says it has more. Yusuf's answer shows understanding of conservation, typical of concrete operational thinking, recognising the amount has not changed despite appearance. Individual differences in development or prior experience can explain why children of similar age differ.**

Question 7

- **B1** defines egocentrism as the inability to take another person's perspective oe
- **B1** gives an example such as Piaget's three mountains task or failing to realise another person has different knowledge oe
- **Answer: Egocentrism is when a child cannot take another person's viewpoint and assumes others see the world as they do, illustrated by failing tasks that require understanding another's perspective.**

Question 8

- **B1** defines class inclusion as understanding that subclasses are included within a larger class, e.g. there are more flowers than roses even though roses are a type of flower oe
- **B1** states this typically emerges in the concrete operational stage (around 7 years) oe
- **Answer: Class inclusion is recognising that members of a subclass are included in a larger class, for example that roses are a type of flower so there can be more flowers than roses; this understanding typically appears in the concrete operational stage around age 7.**

Question 9

- **M1** defines ZPD as the difference between what a learner can do alone and what they can do with guidance from a more knowledgeable other oe
- **A1** explains that the ZPD identifies tasks that are just beyond independent ability but achievable with support oe
- **A1** states why it matters for teachers, e.g. to target instruction and provide appropriate support to promote learning oe
- **Answer: The ZPD is the gap between what a learner can achieve independently and what they can achieve with guidance from a more knowledgeable other; it is important for teachers because it helps them target tasks and provide support that will promote progress.**

Question 10

- **M1** defines scaffolding as temporary support provided by a more knowledgeable other that is gradually withdrawn as the learner becomes competent oe
- **A1** gives a clear classroom example, e.g. teacher prompts difficult words, models pronunciation, then asks the child to read with decreasing help oe
- **A1** explains that the support is reduced as the child gains independence oe
- **Answer: Scaffolding is temporary, adjustable support from a more knowledgeable other that is reduced as the learner gains skill; for reading aloud a teacher might model difficult words, provide prompts and feedback, then gradually let the child read independently.**

Question 11

- **Level 4** (13-16): Knowledge of Piaget and Vygotsky is accurate and detailed. Discussion is thorough and evaluative, integrating evidence that both supports and challenges the theories. The candidate compares the individual versus social emphasis, addresses methodological criticisms that Piaget may have underestimated abilities, and evaluates the educational application of scaffolding and the ZPD, showing clear reasoning and use of appropriate terminology.
- **Level 3** (9-12): Knowledge is clear with some detail. Discussion includes evaluation and some comparison between the theories, and mentions evidence about Piaget's tasks underestimating ability or the educational usefulness of scaffolding. Some points may be less developed or less well integrated.
- **Level 2** (5-8): Knowledge is present but limited. Discussion is superficial with few evaluative points and limited comparison. May mention one or two relevant studies or applications without developing implications clearly.
- **Level 1** (1-4): Very limited knowledge and evaluation. Answers are brief, fragmented or largely descriptive, with little or no comparison or reference to evidence or applications.
- **Level 0** (0): No creditable content.
- **Indicative content:**
 - AO1 content: Piaget emphasised stages of individual cognitive development, driven by processes such as assimilation, accommodation and equilibration; key concepts include object permanence, egocentrism, conservation and class inclusion.
 - AO1 content: Vygotsky emphasised social interaction and language in development, learning through more knowledgeable others, with the zone of proximal development and scaffolding central to his account.
 - AO3 comparison: Piaget views development as driven by internal maturation and stages, implying readiness limits what a child can learn independently; Vygotsky sees learning leading development, with social interaction enabling earlier or higher-level performance within the ZPD.
 - AO3 evidence that Piaget may have underestimated abilities: methodological criticisms include tasks that rely on verbal explanation or unfamiliar contexts (e.g. conservation or three mountains tasks) which may under-estimate competence; studies using simpler or more familiar tasks often find earlier competence, suggesting Piaget's age ranges may be conservative.
 - AO3 counter-evidence: Piaget's account captures broad qualitative changes in thinking and has strong explanatory power for patterns of errors such as centration in preoperational children.
 - AO3 educational application of Vygotsky: scaffolding and the ZPD have clear practical value, directing teachers to provide targeted, temporary support and collaborative learning; evidence from classroom interventions shows improved learning when instruction is ZPD-focused, though effect sizes vary.
 - AO3 limitations of Vygotsky: the theory is less specific about developmental stages and mechanisms for individual differences and may be harder to falsify; practical constraints include resource demands for one-to-one scaffolding.
 - AO3 synthesis: both perspectives offer valuable insights; Piaget highlights developmental changes and cognitive structures, Vygotsky highlights social mechanisms and the role of culture and instruction. Effective education can combine both ideas, using stage-appropriate activities and social scaffolding to extend learning.
 - AO3 evaluation of evidence and methodology: discuss empirical studies and methodological issues, and consider cultural and individual differences that support Vygotsky's emphasis on cultural tools and social context.

Question 12

- **M1** identifies a specific methodological criticism, e.g. that tasks were verbally demanding, used unfamiliar materials, or required explanations rather than simple demonstrations oe
- **A1** explains how this could lead to underestimating ability, e.g. children may fail due to misunderstanding the question rather than lacking the cognitive concept oe
- **M1** links to Piaget's theory by stating the implication, e.g. age ranges for stages may be too late or the stage concept may be less rigid oe
- **A1** explains why this matters for interpretation, e.g. it challenges the claim that cognitive development is strictly stage-like and solely maturation driven oe
- **Answer:** For example, Piaget's conservation tasks often required children to answer verbally and used unfamiliar presentation; a child might fail because they misunderstood the question or the way it was asked rather than lacking conservation. This could mean Piaget underestimated children's abilities and that stage age brackets are conservative, which challenges the rigidity of his stage model and suggests methodological factors affected his conclusions.

Question 13

- **M1** describes a practical application, e.g. peer tutoring, guided questioning, modelling and gradual withdrawal of help oe
- **A1** explains how this supports learning, e.g. helps learners perform within their ZPD and builds independence oe
- **M1** identifies a realistic limitation, e.g. requires time, trained staff or low pupil-teacher ratios, or may not suit all learning styles oe
- **A1** explains why the limitation matters, e.g. practical constraints may reduce fidelity of scaffolding and therefore reduce its effectiveness in improving outcomes oe
- **Answer: Application: teachers can use guided questioning and modelling, then gradually reduce prompts so pupils move from supported to independent performance, which helps learners work in their ZPD. Limitation: effective scaffolding is time and resource intensive and depends on teacher skill and class sizes, so in busy classrooms it may be inconsistently applied and less effective.**