



AC5D Redox and Electrochemistry: Depth and Exam Drill

AQA 7405 · Calculator allowed · about 150 minutes

Total Marks

--

Name: _____ Date: ____ / ____ / ____

Answer ALL questions. Show all your working.

- 1** This question tests key definitions used throughout the redox and electrochemistry topic.
- (a) Define oxidation in terms of electron transfer. (1)
 - (b) Define an oxidising agent. (1)
 - (c) State the conditions that define a standard electrode potential. (2)
- (Total for Question 1 is 4 marks)

- 2** This question is about the redox reaction between acidified potassium dichromate(VI) and iron(II) ions.
- (a) Write the balanced half-equation for the reduction of dichromate(VI) ions, $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7^{2-}$, to Cr^{3+} ions in acidic solution. (2)
 - (b) Write the half-equation for the oxidation of Fe^{2+} ions to Fe^{3+} ions. (1)
 - (c) Combine the two half-equations to give the overall ionic equation for the reaction between acidified dichromate(VI) ions and Fe^{2+} ions, ensuring the electrons cancel. (2)
 - (d) State the colour change observed during this reaction, and identify the oxidising agent and the reducing agent. (3)
- (Total for Question 2 is 8 marks)

- 3** This question uses a redox titration with potassium dichromate(VI) to determine the percentage of iron in an ore sample.
- (a) A 2.50 g sample of iron ore is dissolved in acid, and all the iron is converted to $\text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{aq})$; the solution is made up to 250 cm^3 in a volumetric flask. A 25.0 cm^3 sample of this solution requires 18.40 cm^3 of 0.0200 mol/dm^3 potassium dichromate(VI) solution to reach the end point, using the reaction $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7^{2-} + 14\text{H}^+ + 6\text{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow 2\text{Cr}^{3+} + 7\text{H}_2\text{O} + 6\text{Fe}^{3+}$. Calculate the number of moles of $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7^{2-}$ used in the titration. (2)
- (b) Calculate the number of moles of Fe^{2+} in the 25.0 cm^3 sample. (2)
- (c) Calculate the total number of moles of Fe^{2+} (and hence Fe) in the original 250 cm^3 solution. (2)
- (d) Calculate the percentage by mass of iron in the original 2.50 g ore sample (Ar of Fe = 56.0). (3)

(Total for Question 3 is 9 marks)

- 4** This question is about setting up and calculating the EMF of a magnesium-silver electrochemical cell.
- (a) Standard electrode potentials: $\text{Mg}^{2+}/\text{Mg} = -2.37 \text{ V}$, $\text{Ag}^+/\text{Ag} = +0.80 \text{ V}$. Identify which electrode is the positive terminal (where reduction occurs) and which is the negative terminal (where oxidation occurs) in a cell combining these two half-cells. (2)
- (b) Calculate the standard EMF, E_{cell} , of this cell. (2)
- (c) Write the overall (combined) equation for the spontaneous cell reaction, ensuring electrons cancel. (2)
- (d) Describe how this cell would be set up in the laboratory to measure E_{cell} experimentally, naming the key piece of apparatus needed to complete the circuit without allowing the two solutions to mix directly. (3)

(Total for Question 4 is 9 marks)

- 5** This question is about how ion concentration affects electrode potential, applying Le Chatelier's principle to two half-equilibria.
- (a) Using the equilibrium $\text{Ag}^+(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Ag}(\text{s})$ and Le Chatelier's principle, predict and explain the effect on the electrode potential of the Ag^+/Ag half-cell of decreasing the concentration of $\text{Ag}^+(\text{aq})$ (for example, by adding chloride ions to precipitate insoluble AgCl). (3)
- (b) For the half-equation $\text{MnO}_4^-(\text{aq}) + 8\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 5\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$, predict and explain the effect on the electrode potential of increasing the concentration of $\text{H}^+(\text{aq})$ (for example, by adding more acid). (3)

(Total for Question 5 is 6 marks)

- 6** This question is about the quantities involved in the electrolytic refining of copper.
- (a) In the electrolytic refining of copper, a current of 15.0 A is passed through a cell for 2.50 hours. Calculate the charge, Q , passed during this time. (2)
 - (b) Calculate the number of moles of electrons passed, given the Faraday constant $F = 96500 \text{ C/mol}$. (2)
 - (c) At the cathode, $\text{Cu}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{Cu}(\text{s})$. Calculate the mass of copper deposited at the cathode (A_r of Cu = 63.5). (3)
 - (d) State and explain what happens to less reactive metal impurities (such as silver or gold) present in the impure copper anode during this process. (2)

(Total for Question 6 is 9 marks)

- 7** The standard electrode potentials predict that the reaction between acidified manganate(VII) ions and chloride ions, $\text{MnO}_4^{-}(\text{aq}) + 8\text{H}^{+}(\text{aq}) + 5\text{e}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$ ($E = +1.51 \text{ V}$) combined with $\text{Cl}_2(\text{g}) + 2\text{e}^{-} \rightleftharpoons 2\text{Cl}^{-}(\text{aq})$ ($E = +1.36 \text{ V}$), giving $E_{\text{cell}} = +0.15 \text{ V}$, is thermodynamically feasible, yet in practice the reaction between potassium manganate(VII) and concentrated hydrochloric acid proceeds only slowly at room temperature without gentle heating. Explain why a reaction can be thermodynamically feasible according to E_{cell} , yet occur only slowly at room temperature, and describe how experimental evidence could be used to determine whether a lack of observed reaction is caused by kinetics or by thermodynamics.

(Total for Question 7 is 6 marks)

- 8** This question analyses the measurement uncertainty in the redox titration described in Question 3.
- (a) The 18.40 cm³ titre in Question 3 was obtained using a burette that can be read to $\pm 0.05 \text{ cm}^3$ at each reading. State the absolute uncertainty in the titre volume (from the two burette readings), and calculate its percentage uncertainty. (3)
 - (b) The 25.0 cm³ sample was measured using a pipette with an uncertainty of $\pm 0.06 \text{ cm}^3$. Calculate its percentage uncertainty. (2)
 - (c) The 2.50 g mass of ore was measured on a balance with an uncertainty of $\pm 0.005 \text{ g}$. Calculate its percentage uncertainty. (2)
 - (d) Estimate the overall percentage uncertainty in the final percentage-by-mass result from Question 3 by summing the individual percentage uncertainties found in (a)-(c), and state which measurement contributes the least to the overall uncertainty. (2)

(Total for Question 8 is 9 marks)

9 This question uses standard electrode potentials to predict the feasibility of redox reactions between tin, iron and iodine species.

- (a) Standard electrode potentials: $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe}^{2+} = +0.77 \text{ V}$, $\text{Sn}^{4+}/\text{Sn}^{2+} = +0.15 \text{ V}$. Use these values to predict whether Sn^{2+} ions can reduce Fe^{3+} ions to Fe^{2+} , writing an overall equation for any feasible reaction. (3)
- (b) Standard electrode potential: $\text{I}_2/\text{I}^- = +0.54 \text{ V}$. Use the electrode potentials to predict whether I^- ions can reduce Sn^{4+} ions to Sn^{2+} , explaining your reasoning. (3)
- (c) Explain, in general terms, how the relative electrode potentials of two half-cells can be used to predict which species will act as an oxidising agent and which as a reducing agent when they are mixed. (3)

(Total for Question 9 is 9 marks)

10 This synoptic question links the EMF of the magnesium-silver cell from Question 4 to its Gibbs free energy change, and to the separate question of reaction rate.

- (a) Using $E_{\text{cell}} = +3.17 \text{ V}$ from Question 4 (for $\text{Mg} + 2\text{Ag}^+ \rightarrow \text{Mg}^{2+} + 2\text{Ag}$, $n = 2$ mol of electrons transferred) and $\Delta G = -nFE_{\text{cell}}$, where $F = 96500 \text{ C/mol}$, calculate ΔG for this reaction. (3)
- (b) State what the sign of ΔG found in (a) confirms about this reaction. (2)
- (c) Despite this large negative ΔG , state and explain what actually determines whether this reaction proceeds at a significant rate when magnesium metal is placed in silver nitrate solution. (2)
- (d) Suggest why, for many redox reactions between a metal and an aqueous metal ion, the activation energy tends to be relatively low compared with many organic reactions, so a large negative ΔG in cases like this does typically correspond to an observable reaction at room temperature. (2)

(Total for Question 10 is 9 marks)

Mark scheme · AC5D Redox and Electrochemistry: Depth and Exam Drill

Question 1

- (a) **B1** loss of electrons, oe
- (a) **Answer: Loss of electrons.**
- (b) **B1** a species that accepts electrons from another species (causing it to be oxidised) and is itself reduced, oe
- (b) **Answer: A species that accepts electrons from another species and is itself reduced.**
- (c) **B1** 298 K (25 degrees C), 100 kPa pressure for any gases, and 1 mol/dm³ concentration for solutions, oe
- (c) **B1** measured relative to a standard hydrogen electrode, oe
- (c) **Answer: 298 K, 100 kPa, 1 mol/dm³, measured against a standard hydrogen electrode.**

Question 2

- (a) **B1** correct atom balance: $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7^{2-} + 14\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 2\text{Cr}^{3+} + 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$
- (a) **B1** correct electron balance: +6e⁻ on the left, giving matching charge (+6) on both sides
- (a) **Answer: $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7^{2-} + 14\text{H}^+ + 6\text{e}^- \rightarrow 2\text{Cr}^{3+} + 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$**
- (b) **B1** $\text{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{e}^-$
- (b) **Answer: $\text{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{e}^-$**
- (c) **M1** multiply the Fe^{2+} half-equation by 6 so that 6 electrons are transferred in each half-equation
- (c) **A1** $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7^{2-} + 14\text{H}^+ + 6\text{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow 2\text{Cr}^{3+} + 7\text{H}_2\text{O} + 6\text{Fe}^{3+}$
- (c) **Answer: $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7^{2-} + 14\text{H}^+ + 6\text{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow 2\text{Cr}^{3+} + 7\text{H}_2\text{O} + 6\text{Fe}^{3+}$**
- (d) **B1** colour changes from orange (dichromate(VI)) to green (Cr^{3+}), oe
- (d) **B1** oxidising agent = dichromate(VI) ions, $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7^{2-}$
- (d) **B1** reducing agent = Fe^{2+} ions
- (d) **Answer: Orange to green; oxidising agent $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7^{2-}$; reducing agent Fe^{2+} .**

Question 3

- (a) **M1** correct substitution: $0.0200 \times (18.40/1000)$
- (a) **A1** 3.68×10^{-4} mol, cao
- (a) **Answer: 3.68×10^{-4} mol**
- (b) **M1** use the mole ratio $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7^{2-} : \text{Fe}^{2+} = 1 : 6$, ft from (a)
- (b) **A1** 2.21×10^{-3} mol (accept 2.20-2.21 $\times 10^{-3}$), ft
- (b) **Answer: 2.21×10^{-3} mol**
- (c) **M1** correct scale factor: $250/25.0 = 10$, ft from (b)
- (c) **A1** 0.0221 mol (accept 0.0220-0.0221), ft
- (c) **Answer: 0.0221 mol**
- (d) **M1** mass of Fe = moles (from (c)) $\times 56.0$, ft
- (d) **M1** percentage = (mass of Fe / 2.50) $\times 100$
- (d) **A1** 49.5% (accept 49.4-49.6%), ft, cao
- (d) **Answer: 49.5%**

Question 4

- (a) **B1** Ag^+/Ag is the positive terminal, since it has the more positive electrode potential (so it is reduced)
- (a) **B1** Mg^{2+}/Mg is the negative terminal, since it has the more negative electrode potential (so it is oxidised)
- (a) **Answer: Ag^+/Ag positive terminal; Mg^{2+}/Mg negative terminal.**
- (b) **M1** correct method: $E_{\text{cell}} = E(\text{positive terminal}) - E(\text{negative terminal}) = 0.80 - (-2.37)$
- (b) **A1** +3.17 V, cao
- (b) **Answer: +3.17 V**
- (c) **M1** multiply the Ag^+/Ag half-equation by 2 so both half-equations involve 2 electrons
- (c) **A1** $\text{Mg} + 2\text{Ag}^+ \rightarrow \text{Mg}^{2+} + 2\text{Ag}$
- (c) **Answer: $\text{Mg} + 2\text{Ag}^+ \rightarrow \text{Mg}^{2+} + 2\text{Ag}$**
- (d) **B1** two half-cells (a Mg rod in $\text{Mg}^{2+}(\text{aq})$ solution; an Ag rod in $\text{Ag}^+(\text{aq})$ solution), connected by a wire through a voltmeter, oe
- (d) **B1** a salt bridge (e.g. filter paper soaked in a saturated inert salt solution such as KNO_3) completes the circuit by allowing ion flow between the half-cells without the two solutions mixing, oe
- (d) **B1** a high-resistance voltmeter is used so that negligible current flows, ensuring a true equilibrium (standard) potential is measured, oe
- (d) **Answer: Two half-cells joined by a wire (via a high-resistance voltmeter) and a salt bridge.**

Question 5

- (a) **B1** the electrode potential becomes less positive (decreases), oe
- (a) **B1** decreasing $[\text{Ag}^+(\text{aq})]$ shifts the equilibrium $\text{Ag}^+ + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Ag}$ to the left, by Le Chatelier's principle, oe
- (a) **B1** this reduces the tendency of the half-cell to be reduced (to gain electrons), which is measured as a less positive electrode potential, oe
- (a) **Answer: The electrode potential becomes less positive.**
- (b) **B1** the electrode potential becomes more positive (increases), oe
- (b) **B1** increasing $[\text{H}^+(\text{aq})]$ shifts the equilibrium to the right, by Le Chatelier's principle, oe
- (b) **B1** this increases the tendency of the half-cell to be reduced (to gain electrons), which is measured as a more positive electrode potential, oe
- (b) **Answer: The electrode potential becomes more positive.**

Question 6

- (a) **M1** convert time to seconds: $2.50 \times 3600 = 9000 \text{ s}$, then use $Q = It$
- (a) **A1** 135000 C ($1.35 \times 10^5 \text{ C}$), cao
- (a) **Answer: $1.35 \times 10^5 \text{ C}$**
- (b) **M1** correct substitution: $135000/96500$, ft from (a)
- (b) **A1** 1.40 mol (accept 1.39-1.40), ft
- (b) **Answer: 1.40 mol**
- (c) **M1** moles of Cu = moles of electrons / 2, ft from (b)
- (c) **M1** mass = moles of Cu $\times$ 63.5
- (c) **A1** 44.4 g (accept 44.2-44.6 g), ft
- (c) **Answer: 44.4 g**
- (d) **B1** less reactive impurities do not dissolve into solution as ions and instead fall to the bottom of the cell as an anode sludge/mud, oe
- (d) **B1** this sludge can be collected and processed separately to recover the valuable metals, oe
- (d) **Answer: Less reactive impurities do not dissolve; they collect as anode sludge, which is processed separately.**

Question 7

- **Level 3** (5-6): A detailed, correct and coherent explanation distinguishing thermodynamic feasibility (from E_{cell} /delta G) from reaction rate (kinetics/activation energy), with a clearly described, valid experimental method (e.g. heating or adding a catalyst) that would distinguish a kinetic barrier from thermodynamic infeasibility, and a correct interpretation of the possible outcomes.
- **Level 2** (3-4): A mostly correct distinction between feasibility and rate is made, with some reference to activation energy, and a broadly valid experimental suggestion, but the explanation or the interpretation of the experimental evidence is underdeveloped or contains a minor inaccuracy.
- **Level 1** (1-2): Some relevant, briefly stated points are made (e.g. that the reaction is 'just slow') without a clear link to activation energy, or without a valid, clearly explained experimental method to distinguish kinetic and thermodynamic causes.
- **Indicative content:**
 - A positive E_{cell} (and hence a negative delta G, since $\Delta G = -nFE_{\text{cell}}$) indicates that a reaction is thermodynamically feasible (energetically favourable), but this says nothing about how fast the reaction proceeds.
 - The rate of a reaction depends on its activation energy and the fraction of collisions with sufficient energy to react, factors that are entirely separate from the size or sign of E_{cell} .
 - A reaction with a favourable (positive) E_{cell} can still have a high activation energy, so at room temperature very few collisions have enough energy to react, making the reaction appear slow or seem not to occur at all.
 - To test whether a lack of observed reaction is due to a kinetic barrier rather than thermodynamic infeasibility, the mixture could be heated: if the reaction then proceeds (e.g. a new product such as chlorine gas is observed), this shows the reaction was thermodynamically feasible all along but had been prevented from occurring at a significant rate by a high activation energy.
 - Alternatively, adding a suitable catalyst and observing whether the reaction then proceeds at room temperature shows the same thing, since a catalyst can only speed up a reaction that is already thermodynamically feasible; it cannot make a thermodynamically unfeasible reaction occur.
 - If heating or adding a catalyst still produces no observable reaction, this suggests the original E_{cell} -based prediction may not hold under the real experimental conditions used (which may differ from the standard conditions used to measure E_{cell}).

Question 8

- (a) **B1** absolute uncertainty = $0.05 + 0.05 = \pm 0.10 \text{ cm}^3$
- (a) **M1** correct substitution: $(0.10/18.40) \times 100$
- (a) **A1** 0.54% (accept 0.5-0.55%)
- **(a) Answer: $\pm 0.10 \text{ cm}^3$; 0.54%**
- (b) **M1** correct substitution: $(0.06/25.0) \times 100$
- (b) **A1** 0.24%, cao
- **(b) Answer: 0.24%**
- (c) **M1** correct substitution: $(0.005/2.50) \times 100$
- (c) **A1** 0.20%, cao
- **(c) Answer: 0.20%**
- (d) **M1** total percentage uncertainty = $0.54 + 0.24 + 0.20$, ft
- (d) **B1** approximately 1.0% (accept 0.9-1.0%); the mass measurement (0.20%) contributes the least, oe
- **(d) Answer: Approximately 1.0%; the mass measurement contributes least.**

Question 9

- (a) **M1** identify that $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe}^{2+}$ has the more positive electrode potential, so Fe^{3+} is reduced and Sn^{2+} is oxidised
- (a) **A1** $E_{\text{cell}} = 0.77 - 0.15 = +0.62 \text{ V}$, which is positive, so the reaction is feasible
- (a) **B1** $\text{Sn}^{2+} + 2\text{Fe}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Sn}^{4+} + 2\text{Fe}^{2+}$
- **(a) Answer: Feasible; $\text{Sn}^{2+} + 2\text{Fe}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Sn}^{4+} + 2\text{Fe}^{2+}$ ($E_{\text{cell}} = +0.62 \text{ V}$)**
- (b) **M1** correct method: $E_{\text{cell}} = E(\text{Sn}^{4+}/\text{Sn}^{2+}) - E(\text{I}_2/\text{I}^-) = 0.15 - 0.54$
- (b) **A1** $E_{\text{cell}} = -0.39 \text{ V}$, which is negative, so the reaction is NOT thermodynamically feasible
- (b) **B1** I_2/I^- has a more positive electrode potential than $\text{Sn}^{4+}/\text{Sn}^{2+}$, so I^- is too weak a reducing agent to reduce Sn^{4+} (equivalently, Sn^{4+} is not a strong enough oxidising agent to oxidise I^-), oe
- **(b) Answer: Not feasible ($E_{\text{cell}} = -0.39 \text{ V}$).**
- (c) **B1** the half-cell with the more positive (higher) electrode potential proceeds as written (as a reduction), so that species acts as the oxidising agent (and is itself reduced), oe
- (c) **B1** the half-cell with the more negative (lower) electrode potential is forced to run in reverse (as an oxidation), so that species acts as the reducing agent (and is itself oxidised), oe
- (c) **B1** a reaction is thermodynamically feasible only if E_{cell} (= E of the reduced half-cell minus E of the oxidised half-cell) is positive, oe
- **(c) Answer: The more positive half-cell is reduced (the oxidising agent); the more negative half-cell is oxidised (the reducing agent).**

Question 10

- (a) **M1** correct substitution: $\Delta G = -2 \times 96500 \times 3.17$, ft
- (a) **A1** -611800 J/mol (accept -611000 to -612000)
- (a) **B1** -612 kJ/mol (correct conversion to kJ/mol , appropriate sig figs)
- **(a) Answer: -612 kJ/mol**
- (b) **B1** ΔG is large and negative, confirming that the reaction is thermodynamically feasible (spontaneous) under standard conditions, oe
- (b) **B1** this is consistent with the positive E_{cell} found in Question 4, since a positive E_{cell} always corresponds to a negative ΔG , oe
- **(b) Answer: Confirms the reaction is thermodynamically feasible, consistent with the positive E_{cell} .**
- (c) **B1** the rate is governed by kinetic factors (e.g. the activation energy, or any protective oxide layer on the magnesium surface), not by ΔG , oe
- (c) **B1** a large negative ΔG only shows the reaction is thermodynamically favourable; it does not guarantee a fast rate, oe
- **(c) Answer: Reaction rate is determined by kinetic factors (activation energy), not by the size of ΔG .**
- (d) **B1** the reaction involves simple electron transfer to/from the metal surface, oe
- (d) **B1** without requiring strong covalent bonds to be broken, unlike many organic reaction mechanisms, so a smaller activation energy is generally needed, oe
- **(d) Answer: Simple electron transfer at a metal surface needs no covalent bonds broken, so activation energy is typically low.**