5.7 Choosing and Evaluating Suppliers: Selection Criteria and Sourcing: 30-minute Pack

AQA 8132 · Calculators not allowed · about 30 minutes

Total Marks

Name: _____ Date: ____ / ____ / _____

Answer ALL questions in the spaces provided. Show your working where asked. The scenario about Noah's Bakery is fictional and used only for these questions.

1 Scenario detail: Noah's Bakery needs 300 kg of strong white flour each month. Supplier A offers flour at £0.45 per kg, delivery in 3 days, and a 2% discount for orders over 500 kg. Supplier B offers flour at £0.48 per kg, delivery in 1 day, and a 30 day payment term. Identify which selection criterion is illustrated by '3 days delivery' in this scenario and explain its relevance briefly.

(Total for Question 1 is 2 marks)

2 Identify whether the following sourcing approach is single sourcing or multiple sourcing: Noah considers using mainly Supplier B for flour because B can deliver in 1 day and offers 30 day payment terms, while Noah would still order from other suppliers if Supplier B failed.

(Total for Question 2 is 2 marks)

3 Which one of the following best describes multiple sourcing as a supplier approach for Noah's Bakery?

- [ ] A) Relying on a single supplier for all of one ingredient
- [ ] B) Using several suppliers so that risk is spread
- [ ] C) Buying only from suppliers that offer the lowest price
- [ ] D) Signing an exclusive long-term contract with one supplier

(Total for Question 3 is 1 mark)

© Revision Library | revisionlibrary.co.uk

4 Identify one advantage of single sourcing for Noah's Bakery if it chose Supplier B exclusively because of fast delivery and favourable payment terms.

(Total for Question 4 is 2 marks)

---

5 Identify one advantage of multiple sourcing for Noah's Bakery, given the risk that a single supplier might fail to deliver.

(Total for Question 5 is 2 marks)

---

6 Using the scenario figures, explain why the quality of flour (for example consistent flour grade) is an important selection criterion for Noah's Bakery. Use the context of Noah's Bakery in your answer.

(Total for Question 6 is 4 marks)

© Revision Library | revisionlibrary.co.uk

7 Calculate the annual cost for Noah's Bakery of buying 300 kg per month for a year (12 months) from Supplier A at £0.45/kg and from Supplier B at £0.48/kg, then state the annual difference. Show your working.

(Total for Question 7 is 3 marks)

---

8 Noah must decide whether to use Supplier A (cheaper at £0.45/kg but 3 day delivery and a discount only for very large orders) or Supplier B (more expensive at £0.48/kg but 1 day delivery and 30 day payment terms). Recommend which supplier, or which sourcing approach (single sourcing or multiple sourcing), Noah should choose for his bakery. Justify your answer using the scenario figures and consider the trade-offs between cost, quality, delivery speed, payment terms and risk.

(Total for Question 8 is 9 marks)

© Revision Library | revisionlibrary.co.uk

Mark scheme · 5.7 Choosing and Evaluating Suppliers: Selection Criteria and Sourcing: 30-minute Pack

Question 1

identifies 'speed of delivery' or 'lead time' as the criterion **B1**

links it to supplier performance in the scenario, e.g. delivery speed affects stock availability **B1**

Answer: Speed of delivery, also called lead time; it affects how quickly Noah's Bakery gets flour and so its stock availability.

Question 2

identifies multiple sourcing or single sourcing correctly **B1**

brief justification linking to the scenario, e.g. using only B would be single sourcing, keeping others as backups is multiple sourcing **B1**

Answer: This describes intending to use Supplier B but keeping others as backups, which is multiple sourcing because Noah would not be reliant on a single supplier and would use more than one if needed.

Question 3

B cao **B1**

Answer: B

Question 4

identifies an advantage, e.g. stronger relationship with supplier or bulk discounts **B1**

briefly links it to the scenario, e.g. faster deliveries or better credit may be negotiated **B1**

Answer: For example, single sourcing can create a stronger relationship so Noah could negotiate even better delivery arrangements or longer payment terms with Supplier B.

Question 5

identifies an advantage, e.g. reduced risk of supply disruption **B1**

briefly links it to the scenario, e.g. other suppliers can cover shortfalls if one fails **B1**

Answer: Multiple sourcing reduces the risk of disruption, because if one supplier fails to deliver Noah can order from another supplier to maintain flour supply.

Question 6

identifies a quality reason, e.g. consistent flour grade ensures consistent product **B1**

develops why consistency matters, e.g. consistent dough behaviour and baking results **B1**

applies to Noah's Bakery, e.g. consistent loaves and pastries protect the bakery's reputation **B1**

links to a business outcome, e.g. fewer waste items, fewer customer complaints, and stable revenue **B1**

Answer: Consistent flour grade ensures consistent dough behaviour and baking results, which is crucial for Noah's Bakery to produce uniform loaves and pastries. This protects the bakery's reputation, reduces waste and customer complaints, and helps maintain stable sales and revenue.

Question 7

calculates annual quantity 300 x 12 = 3,600 kg or equivalent seen **M1**

calculates annual cost for one supplier and the other, e.g. 3,600 x 0.45 and 3,600 x 0.48 seen **M1**

£108 cao difference stated correctly **A1**

Answer: Annual quantity = 300 x 12 = 3,600 kg. Supplier A: 3,600 x 0.45 = £1,620.00. Supplier B: 3,600 x 0.48 = £1,728.00. Difference = 1,728.00 - 1,620.00 = £108.00 per year.

Question 8

Level 1 Makes simple, undeveloped comments about the suppliers or sourcing options, with little or no use of the scenario figures and no clear recommendation. **1-3**

Level 2 Gives a developed argument for one option, using some of the scenario figures, but does not fully weigh both sides or reach a clearly supported recommendation. **4-6**

Level 3 Weighs the evidence for and against choosing Supplier A, Supplier B or a multiple sourcing approach, uses the scenario figures (monthly and annual cost differences, delivery times and payment terms), and reaches a justified recommendation supported by that analysis. **7-9**

Indicative content:

- Apply the costs: Supplier A costs £0.45/kg and Supplier B £0.48/kg, so at 300 kg per month Supplier A saves £9.00 per month or £108.00 per year compared with Supplier B.
- Consider delivery speed: Supplier B delivers in 1 day and Supplier A in 3 days, so B reduces lead time and lowers the risk of running low on stock, which may be important for daily bakery production.
- Consider payment terms and cash flow: Supplier B offers 30 day payment terms which delay cash outflow, easing short-term cash flow; Supplier A does not offer similar terms in the scenario.
- Consider risk and sourcing approach: single sourcing with B could secure fast delivery and credit terms but creates dependence on one supplier; multiple sourcing spreads risk so that if B fails Noah can buy from A or another supplier.
- Weigh trade-offs: the annual cost saving from A is modest (£108.00) compared with the operational benefits of faster delivery and credit from B, but reliance solely on B increases disruption risk.
- Possible justified recommendations: choose multiple sourcing using B as primary for fast delivery and credit, and A as backup to capture lower prices when needed, or choose B alone if fast delivery and improved cash flow are critical and Noah can accept increased supplier dependence.
- Final judgement should state a clear choice and justify it using the figures, for example recommending multiple sourcing with B as main supplier to balance lower risk and operational benefits while still having access to A's lower price when useful.

© Revision Library | revisionlibrary.co.uk